

PROTESTS 1984 - today

| # | Year | Event | Nr | Rule ref | Upheld | Rejected | Protest summary | Key word |
|----|------|--|----------|----------------------------------|--------|----------|---|--------------------------------|
| A1 | 1985 | 7 th AX World Championship, USA | 1985,1A | AX 11.5 | Upheld | | A pilot was penalized for ground contact. An object hanging from the basket touched the ground near a goal. The pilot protested. The protest was upheld. The NAC of another pilot filed an appeal. The appeal was upheld but final results could not be changed by the IAT. GS later changed. | AX 11. Ground Contact |
| A2 | 1994 | 9 th AX European Championship, Slovenia | 1994,1A. | AX 13.1.2 (UMR 2.8.3) Protest #7 | | Rejected | 4 pilots disregarded first task in the flight and took off close to second goal. Pilots were penalised 500 p in both tasks for unsporting behaviour. Appeal to FAI. Tribunal upheld jury decision and rejected the appeal. | AX 13. Unsporting behaviour |
| A3 | 1998 | 11 th AX European Championship, Sweden | 1998.2A | AX 12.2.3 Protest #28 | | Rejected | Goal validity not sufficiently explained at General Briefing. Additional info later did not clarify. Unclear what the pilot wanted to achieve with protest. No justification for the protest. | AX 12. Goal validity |
| A4 | 1998 | 11 th AX European Championship, Sweden | 1998.4A | AX 11.2.2 Protest #30 | | Rejected | Jury was correct to accept ED decision not to penalise. No competition advantage was gained. | AX 11. Landing close to a goal |
| A5 | 2009 | 3 rd WAG, ITA | 2009.1A | S1 5.6.6.1 | Upheld | | Pilot cancelled participation 15 days before General Briefing due to lack of equipment. Too late to invite replacement. IAT ruled that No-Show rule was not applicable and pilot had no reason to show up at Gen. Briefing | S1 5. No-Show |
| A6 | 2014 | 2 nd AX Junior Worlds, France | 2014,1A | AX 15.17.2 Protest #77 | | Rejected | Scoring area definition. By printed map on TDS. A different SCA published on Electronic Notice Board. NAC of pilot #1 claimed ENB version to be correct. Also claimed that Jury had no authority to decide. | AX 15. Task data |
| 1 | 1984 | 4 th AX European Championship, UK | 1984.1 | AX 9.12 (UMR 9.7.3) | | Rejected | Pilot took off after Launch Period and received no result (according to rules). Jury rejected protest but recommended fixed penalty per minute late for next version of MER. Change accepted by Rules Subcom. | AX 09. Late take-off |
| 2 | 1986 | 4 th AA World Championship, USA | 1986.1 | GB 7 | | Rejected | Pilot landed after sunset. Not allowed in Event rules. Claimed that landing area was not good for his brand new balloon. A few other pilots also did but did not complain. Could have landed before sunset in good terrain. | GB 7. Landing after sunset |
| 3 | 1992 | 6 th AX North American Championship | 1992,1&2 | AX 9.9, 13.4 | | Rejected | 5 pilots protested. End of launch period not clearly stated on task sheet. Jury found that it was given verbally. There were no questions at the task briefing. | AX 09. Launch period |
| 4 | 1992 | 6 th AX North American Championship | 1992.3 | AX 8.6, 13.4 | | Rejected | Pilot missed mandatory General Briefing and claimed exhaustion. Was penalized according to rules but was allowed to compete. | AX 08. Pilot missed briefing |
| 5 | 1992 | 6 th AX North American Championship | 1992.4 | AX 12.14 & 6.6.2 | | Rejected | Pilot requested and got 90 minutes extension of search period but returned observer 180 minutes after original end of SP. Claimed communication difficulties and unable to get a further extension. | AX 12. Search period |

PROTESTS 1984 - today

| # | Year | Event | Nr | Rule ref | Upheld | Rejected | Protest summary | Key word |
|----|------|--|---------|-----------------------|-------------|------------------------|--|-----------------------------|
| 6 | 1992 | 6 th AX North American Championship | 1992.5 | AX 15.8 | | Rejected, fee returned | Pilot claimed that the task was not properly named and limited area should not be used for GBM. Jury decided it was correctly described and rejected the protest. Protest fee returned. | AX 15. Task data |
| 7 | 1994 | 9 th AX European Championship, Slovenia | 1994,1 | AX 13.1.2 (UMR 2.8.3) | | Rejected | 4 pilots disregarded first task in the flight and took off close to second goal. Pilots were penalised 500 p in both tasks for unsporting behaviour. Appeal to FAI. Tribunal upheld jury decision and rejected the appeal. | AX 13. Unsporting behaviour |
| 8 | 1995 | 12 th AX World Championship, USA | 1995,1 | GS 5.1.2, 5.4.1 | Not decided | Not decided | Dispute over money owed by a pilot from a previous event. Organiser withheld cash and free hotel to retrieve what was owed. Pilots NAC had not filed a complaint. Jury declined to handle the protest | GS 5. Entry conditions |
| 9 | 1995 | Coupe d'Europe, France | 1995,2 | AX 9.12 (UMR 9.7.3) | | Rejected, fee returned | Balloon blocked by two other balloons. Pilot took off 7 minutes after end of Launch Period. Pilot failed to ask for extension. | AX 11. Late take-off. |
| 10 | 1996 | Belgian Nationals | 1996.1 | AX 11.5 | Upheld | | Balloon touched leaves on top of a tree. Jury changed penalty from 500 to 200 p. Jury suggest that rules 11.4 and 11.5 be clarified | AX 11. Ground Contact |
| 11 | 1996 | 10 th AX European Championship, Austria | 1996.2 | AX 9.19 | Upheld | | Pilot penalised for loss of control at launch. Jury found that pilot could have been misled by conflicting instructions from launchmasters. | AX 09. Loss of control |
| 12 | 1996 | 10 th AX European Championship, Austria | 1996.3 | AX 10.3 | | Rejected | Two balloons were side by side over a goal. One balloon then climbed slowly and passed over the other. Pilot of lower balloon climbed fast without being sure that there was no balloon above. | AX 10. Collision |
| 13 | 1996 | 10 th AX European Championship, Austria | 1996.4 | AX 10.14 | | Rejected | Flight after sunset. Clearly stated prohibited at General Briefing and Task Briefing. Jury recommend a rule modification for clarification. | AX 10. Landing after sunset |
| 14 | 1996 | 10 th AX European Championship, Austria | 1996.5 | II.12, AX 12.3.3 | | Rejected | Goal within 200 m of a motorway. Penalty correctly applied. | AX 12. Invalid goal |
| 15 | 1996 | 9 th AA World Championship, Germany | 1996.6 | AA | | Rejected | Goal ambiguity. JDG goal given as a handout copy of ICAO map. In reality the goal selected by the ED was not a simple Y-junction. Pilots wanted to be scored to another junction than that selected by the ED. | AA. Goal ambiguity |
| 16 | 1996 | 5 th BX World Championship, Italy | 1996,7a | BX 9.2, 9.3, 9.2.7 | Upheld | | Right of way and collision. Jury decided that pilot #2 had right of way and a disadvantage. Received 50p compensation. Pilot #5 caused the collision, had no right of way and received 500p penalty. | BX 09. Right of way |
| 17 | 1996 | 5 th BX World Championship, Italy | 1996,7b | BX 9.2, 9.3, 9.2.7 | | Rejected | Same occasion as 1996,7a. Protest by pilot #5. | BX 09. Right of way |

PROTESTS 1984 - today

| # | Year | Event | Nr | Rule ref | Upheld | Rejected | Protest summary | Key word |
|------|------|---|--------|-------------------|--------|------------------------|--|--------------------------------|
| 18 | 1996 | 5 th BX World Championship, Italy | 1996,8 | BX 4.1.2 | | Rejected | Task cancelled as all pilots did not have a fair chance. Pilot # 2 wanted task to be valid or all tasks in that flight to be cancelled. Jury decided that ED made a correct decision. | BX 04. Cancelling of task |
| 19 | 1996 | 5 th BX World Championship, Italy | 1996,9 | BX 9.2.1 | | Rejected | Collision between #2 and #11 resulting in Ground Contact by #11. Protest by #2. The jury found that both pilots were correctly penalised but removed the GC penalty for pilot #11. | BX 09. Right of way |
| 20 | 1997 | Baltic Cup 1997, Latvia | 1997.1 | AX 10.14 | | Rejected | Pilot landed after official sunset given at briefing. Not allowed in Event rules. Landing was before sunset indicated on GPS. Jury decided that briefing time was valid and that penalty was correct. | AX 10. Landing after sunset |
| 21 | 1997 | Baltic Cup 1997, Latvia | 1997.2 | AX 11.2.2 | | Rejected, fee returned | Landed 95 m from goal on a road. Unsuitable terrain and many balloons on ground ahead. No competition advantage. Jury decided that penalty was correct. Fee returned. | AX 11. Landing close to a goal |
| 22 | 1997 | Baltic Cup 1997, Latvia | 1997.3 | AX 12.3.3 | Upheld | | Question of valid goal. The jury found that the road in question started as a solid line but later was a dotted line. Solid line partly obscured by a village name. The goal seemed to be valid. | AX 12. Valid goal |
| Info | 1997 | Baltic Cup 1997, Latvia | 1997.4 | AX 3.10.2 | | Info to jury | Supplementary report. Unmarked retrieve car found close to a goal. "Normal" retrieve crew tried to delay observer at a different place. Marker found by unmarked car crew. 600 competition points. | AX 03. Unmarked car |
| 23 | 1997 | 41 st GB 1997, Germany | 1997.5 | GB 7.2 | Upheld | | Pilots claimed better result than other balloon. Jury agreed. Pilot claimed that other balloon landed in Bulgaria (outside competition area) and was carried across the border. Jury found conflicting evidence. | GB 7.2 Landing position |
| 24 | 1997 | 1 st World Air Games, Turkey | 1997.6 | AX 12.17.1 | Upheld | | Pilot scored with "No Result". Dropped marker 4 sec after end of Scoring Period. Pilot claimed that he dropped in time according to observer. Jury agreed with reference to rule 6.2, observers duties. | AX 12. Scoring period |
| 25 | 1997 | 1 st World Air Games, Turkey | 1997.7 | AX 12.3.3 & II.12 | | Rejected | PDG Goal 110m from power line. Power line not on competition map but defined by written information at General Briefing and marked at official map. | AX 12.3.3 Invalid goal |
| 26 | 1997 | 1 st World Air Games, Turkey | 1997.8 | AX 12.3.3 & II.12 | | Rejected | PDG Goal 110m from power line. Power line not on competition map but defined by written information at General Briefing and marked at official map. | AX 12.3.3 Invalid goal |
| 27 | 1998 | 11 th AX European Championship, Sweden | 1998.1 | AX 7.3 | | Rejected | Pilot protested against too many PZ's | AX 07. PZ |

PROTESTS 1984 - today

| # | Year | Event | Nr | Rule ref | Upheld | Rejected | Protest summary | Key word |
|----|------|---|--------|------------|------------|------------------------|--|-------------------------------------|
| 28 | 1998 | 11 th AX European Championship, Sweden | 1998.2 | AX 12.2.3 | | Rejected, fee returned | Goal validity not sufficiently explained at General Briefing. Additional info later did not clarify. Unclear what the pilot wanted to achieve with protest. Appealed to FAI. | AX 12. Goal validity |
| 29 | 1998 | 11 th AX European Championship, Sweden | 1998.3 | AX 12.16.1 | With-drawn | Withdrawn | Some pilots dropped marker after end of search period (Launch + 3h). They should be "lost" and pilots scored to landing, but have been scored to mark. ED agreed after protest and changed scoring. Protest withdrawn. | AX 12. Scoring period |
| 30 | 1998 | 11 th AX European Championship, Sweden | 1998.4 | AX 11.2.2 | | Rejected | 10-12 pilots landed 150-200 m from a goal. Unsuitable terrain ahead. Rain coming. No possibility to interfere with marker. Rule 13.2: Violations may be penalised. ED choose not to penalise. Appealed to FAI | AX 11. Landing close to a goal |
| 31 | 1998 | Eurocentras 98, Lithuania | 1998.5 | AX 8.2 | | Rejected | Pilot protested against task cancellation. 5 out of 12 flew the task. There was no recall procedure but ED contacted some pilots. Task cancelled for safety reasons (approaching thunderstorm). Jury agreed. | AX 08. Valid task |
| 32 | 1998 | 6 th BX World Championship, Canada | 1998.6 | BX 10.2 | | Rejected, fee returned | Collision caused by pilot #2 made pilot #1 abort and make new approach. Pilot #2 penalised. Pilot #1 claimed that penalty was too small as #1 lost much time and could not score at following task. | BX 10. Collision |
| 33 | 1998 | 6 th BX World Championship, Canada | 1998.7 | BX 9.2.1 | | Rejected | Collision between #1 and #11. Pilot #1 had right of way. Neither pilot penalised. Pilot #11 got better result but was delayed. Jury found that no disadvantage occurred. | BX 09. Right of way |
| 34 | 1999 | LUX Nationals Pre-Europeans | 1999.1 | AX 11.4 | | Rejected | Ground contact short after take-off. Min distance task. Task data: Ground contact – 500 task points. Several witnesses | AX 11. Ground Contact |
| 35 | 1999 | 43 rd Gordon Bennett, USA | 1999.2 | GB 7.3 | | Rejected | Pilots failed to report landing within 4 hours. Penalised 2%. Cell phone did not work but there were many public and private phones in the area | GB 07. Reporting |
| 36 | 1999 | Motegi HAB Int. Championship, Japan | 1999.3 | AX 11.2.2 | Upheld | | Pilot landed close to goal. Approaching sunset. Was penalised for distance goal to landing. Jury decided that penalty should apply to distance target to landing | AX 11. Landing close to goal/target |
| 37 | 2000 | 7 th BX World Championship, Austria | 2000.1 | BX 11.2 | | Rejected | Airship touched a long grass straw just before a target. Clearly defined as Ground Contact in rules. | BX 11. Ground Contact |
| 38 | 2000 | 9 th Akwavit & 11 th Ladies Cup, Poland | 2000.2 | AX 12.16.2 | | Rejected | Marker not seen falling or on ground. Search extension granted. Mkr found next morning with farmer. Pilot scored to next marker | AX 12. Lost Marker |
| 39 | 2001 | 2 nd World Air Games AX, Spain | 2001.1 | AX 12.9 | | Rejected, fee returned | Gravity marker drop and limited scoring area. Pilot threw marker into SCA and received No Result as announced at General Briefing. Pilot questioned this rule interpretation. Protest fee returned. | AX 12. Gravity Mkr drop |

PROTESTS 1984 - today

| # | Year | Event | Nr | Rule ref | Upheld | Rejected | Protest summary | Key word |
|----|------|--|---------|-----------------|------------|------------------------|---|--------------------------|
| 40 | 2001 | Motegi HAB Int. Championship, Japan | 2001.2 | AX 11.5 | | Rejected | Gravity marker drop. Marker head touched ground within 200m of target. Rule 11.5 allowed marker tail to touch ground. Rule later changed to exempt the whole maker. | AX 11. Ground Contact |
| 41 | 2002 | 15 th AX World Championship, France | 2002.1 | AX 12.3 | | Rejected | Fly On task. Pilot wrote "Provisional" on previous marker rather than coordinates. Received No Result. Pilot regarded "Provisional" and 8 digit coordinates as synonymous. | AX 12. Declaration |
| 42 | 2002 | 15 th AX World Championship, France | 2002.21 | AX 10.14 | | Rejected | Group protest, 4 pilots. Many pilots flew in clouds and were penalised. 4 pilots filed a common protest. Pilots requested penalties to be withdrawn but admitted to cloud flying. | AX 10. Air Law |
| 43 | 2002 | 15 th AX World Championship, France | 2002.22 | AX 10.14 | | Rejected | Group protest, 4 pilots. Many pilots flew in clouds and were penalised. 4 pilots filed a common protest. Pilots requested penalties to be withdrawn but admitted to cloud flying. | AX 10. Air Law |
| 44 | 2002 | 15 th AX World Championship, France | 2002.23 | AX 10.14 | | Rejected | Group protest, 4 pilots. Many pilots flew in clouds and were penalised. 4 pilots filed a common protest. Pilots requested penalties to be withdrawn but admitted to cloud flying. | AX 10. Air Law |
| 45 | 2002 | 15 th AX World Championship, France | 2002.24 | AX 10.14 | | Rejected | Group protest, 4 pilots. Many pilots flew in clouds and were penalised. 4 pilots filed a common protest. Pilots requested penalties to be withdrawn but admitted to cloud flying. | AX 10. Air Law |
| 46 | 2002 | 15 th AX World Championship, France | 2002.3 | AX 10.14 | With-drawn | Withdrawn | Many pilots flew in clouds and were penalised. Pilot requested penalties to be withdrawn but admitted to cloud flying. | AX 10. Air Law |
| 47 | 2002 | 15 th AX World Championship, France | 2002.4 | AX 10.14 | | Rejected, fee returned | Many pilots flew in clouds and were penalised. Pilot requested penalties to be withdrawn but admitted to cloud flying. Claimed to have climbed to avoid other balloon, then had to descend slowly | AX 10. Air Law |
| 48 | 2002 | 15 th AX World Championship, France | 2002.5 | AX 10.14 | | Rejected | Many pilots flew in clouds and were penalised. Pilot requested penalties to be withdrawn but admitted to cloud flying. No reason to return fee. | AX 10. Air Law |
| 49 | 2002 | 15 th AX World Championship, France | 2002.6 | AX 10.14 | | Rejected | Many pilots flew in clouds and were penalised. Pilot requested penalties to be withdrawn but admitted to cloud flying. No reason to return fee. | AX 10. Air Law |
| 50 | 2002 | Motegi HAB Int. Championship, Japan | 2002.7 | AX 12.3 | | Rejected | Ambiguous goal declaration. Two T-junctions within 200m of declared goal. Pilot scored to least advantageous junction. | AX 12. Declaration |
| 51 | 2003 | Mobilux Trophy 2003, Luxembourg | 2003.1 | AX 9.2.5, 8.4.3 | | Rejected, fee returned | A 3-task flight. Many pilots launched outside the Contest Area and received No Result in the first task. 2 other pilots requested that those launching outside CA should have No Result in all 3 tasks. | AX 09. Launch procedures |

PROTESTS 1984 - today

| # | Year | Event | Nr | Rule ref | Upheld | Rejected | Protest summary | Key word |
|----|------|--|--------|-----------------|--------|------------------------|--|---------------------------|
| 52 | 2003 | Mobilux Trophy 2003, Luxembourg | 2003.2 | AX 9.2.5, 8.4.3 | | Rejected, fee returned | A 3-task flight. Many pilots launched outside the Contest Area and received No Result in the first task. 2 other pilots requested that those launching outside CA should have No Result in all 3 tasks. | AX 09. Launch procedures |
| 53 | 2003 | 13 th AX European Championship, Lithuania | 2003.3 | AX 15.5.4 | | Rejected, fee returned | Provisional goal written on Obs Report Sheet. Pilot changed goal in flight and wrote it on Marker but made a verbal remark only to the Observer in the basket. Marker stolen. Pilot scored to provisional goal | AX 15.5. Declaration |
| 54 | 2004 | 10 th AA World Championship, Germany | 2004.1 | AA 12.9 | | Rejected | Lost marker. Marker seen falling until just before hitting ground by observer in basket. Pilot got no resul. Rule later changed. | AA 12. Goal, Marker |
| 55 | 2005 | 14 th AX European Championship, Hungary | 2005.1 | AX 12.17.2 | Upheld | | Marker on ground 34 sec after Scoring Period. Marker seen falling before but time not recorded by Target Team. Pilot not satisfied with No Result. Jury determined that marker had been seen falling before end of S period | AX 12. Scoring Period |
| 56 | 2005 | Motegi HAB Int. Championship, Japan | 2005.2 | AX 12.1 & 12.2 | | Rejected, fee returned | Goal selected by comptitor from official goal list had been removed by construction work. No Result. Marker > 100 m from goal co-ordinates. Jury found marker also >100 m from 2 other intersections close to goal. | AX 12. Goal |
| 57 | 2006 | 1 st AX South American, Brazil | 2006.1 | AX 12.6 | Unkown | Unknown | Modified marker. Marker damaged by fan during inflation. Reason for protest not known. Jury decision not known. Chaotic event | AX 12. Marker |
| 58 | 2006 | 2006 LUXGSM Trophy, Luxembourg | 2006.2 | AX II.17 d) | | Rejected | Task required 5 logger marks. Only 4 marks found. Mark for last task missing. Pilot scored to last mark, just before landing. Pilot wanted to be scored to nearest track point. Jury determined scoring was fair & correct | AX II.17. Logger mark |
| 59 | 2006 | 50 th Gordon Bennett, Belgium | 2006.3 | GB 6.3, 6.4 | | Rejected | Missing ATC contact. Some pilots flew through Controlled and/or Restricted airspace. One team was disqualified at the event and filed a protest. Protest handled after 3 months as evidence from ATC had to be collected. Three teams received No Result | GB 06. Airspace violation |
| 60 | 2006 | 17 th AX World Championship, Japan | 2006.4 | AX 10.1 | Upheld | | Officials at goal found pilot's sinkrate 1400 ft/min close to other balloons. Penalty 1250 CP. Jury removed penalty for reckless flying and reduced penalty for high sink rate. | AX 10. Flight rules |
| 61 | 2008 | 2008 LUXGSM Trophy, Luxembourg | 2008.1 | AX 6.13 | Upheld | | Logger marks missing. Pilot received No Result in 2 tasks. No fault in logger found. Jury directed ED to score by track points to the least advantageous interpretation. Rules later modified | AX 06. Loggers |
| 62 | 2008 | 18 th AX World Championship, Austria | 2008.2 | AX 6.13 | | Rejected | Official logger failed. Pilots GPS did not provide same altitude information as official logger. Pilots GPS indicated mark outside MSA and could not be used. Rules later modified | AX 06. Loggers |
| 63 | 2009 | Austrian Championship | 2009.1 | AX 7.1 | Upheld | | Max distance task within contest area. 6 pilots flew out of map and then reentered. Scored to exit point. Jury decided that reentering was allowed and requested rescoring. | AX 07. Contest area |

PROTESTS 1984 - today

| # | Year | Event | Nr | Rule ref | Upheld | Rejected | Protest summary | Key word |
|----|------|---|---------|-------------------------------------|--------|------------------------|---|------------------------|
| 64 | 2009 | 16 th Coupe d'Europe, France | 2009.2 | AX 2.2.2 Nationality | | Rejected | Pilot flew with a pilot of different nationality who has never competed in HAB events but only AA events. Jury decided that rules make no difference in balloon category and ruled that the flight crew composition is not allowed. | AX 02. Entry |
| 65 | 2010 | 19 th AX World Championship, Hungary | 2010.1 | AX 12.3.1 | Upheld | | Goal declaration was from a list of allowed goals. Pilot declared 3944/6278 instead of 3944/6274, 40m difference, and received No Result. Jury decided that there was no ambiguity and requested rescoring. | AX 12. Declaration |
| 66 | 2010 | 19 th AX World Championship, Hungary | 2010.2 | AX 10.1.3 Collision | | Rejected | Pilots basket made light contact with other envelope just after take off and in level flight. Pilot was penalized. Pilot claimed it was safe. Several officials witnesses. Jury confirmed penalty. | AX 10. Flight rules |
| 67 | 2012 | 20 th AX World Championship, USA | 2012.1 | AX 10.2 Dangerous flying | | Rejected | Dangerous flying. The BFA scoring program detected 3.1 m/sec vert closing speed within 10.5 m from another balloon. Pilot did not agree with reference to his own GPS. Jury decided that 150 CP was correct and fair | AX 10. Flight rules |
| 68 | 2012 | 20 th AX World Championship, USA | 2012.2 | AX 11.3 Contest landing | Upheld | | Two markers in FON task. White for declaration and Purple for scoring. Landed with both markers and claimed Contest Landing. He got No Result. Pilot had declared on Obs Report. Jury found that Obs rep decl was valid. | AX 11. Landings |
| 69 | 2012 | Tochgi HAB Int. Championship, Japan | 2012.3 | AX 8.4.7 Min dist launch to goal(s) | | Rejected, fee returned | Launch too close to goals in 2 tasks out of 5 in the flight. ED applied penalties to tasks where min dist was violated. Pilot wanted penalty to be applied to first task only. Jury agreed with Event Director | AX 08. Multiple tasks |
| 70 | 2014 | 21 st AX World Championship, Brazil | 2014.01 | AX 10.2 Dangerous flying | | Rejected | Dangerous flying. Pilot penalised 100p for 6 m/s ascend rate close to another balloon. Pilot claimed that loggers set to GPS altitude is not accurate enough for this calculation. Jury decided in favour of ED: | AX 10. Flight rules |
| 71 | 2014 | 21 st AX World Championship, Brazil | 2014.02 | AX 11.5 Ground Contact | Upheld | | Protesting pilot's balloon was pushed downwards by another balloon near a goal at low level (3m). Result was a light Ground Contact. Jury agreed with the pilot and told ED to remove penalty. Jury recommend a change in rule | AX 11. Ground Contact |
| 72 | 2014 | 21 st AX World Championship, Brazil | 2014.03 | AX II.17 c) Logger handling | Upheld | | Valid declaration for task #4. Declaration for task #5 by mistake entered in logger for task #4. Then correctly entered again for task #5. Penalty 150p, reduced to 50 after complaint. Jury decided to remove penalty completely | AX II.17. Logger mark |
| 73 | 2014 | 21 st AX World Championship, Brazil | 2014.04 | AX 12.20 MMA | | Rejected | Marker outside MMA by 60 cm. MMA decided to 50 m after hare landed. Logger mark made after 3 minutes at 374 m. Pilot scored to logger mark. Pilot wanted ED to increase MMA. Jury agreed with ED scoring | AX 12.20 MMA |
| 74 | 2014 | 21 st AX World Championship, Brazil | 2014.05 | AX 7.8 Map coordinates | | Rejected | Protest against scoring of another pilot. Declaration was outside the map. The jury determined that the logger declaration was "inappropriate but unambiguous" and agreed with scoring and 100p penalty. | AX 07. Map coordinates |

PROTESTS 1984 - today

| # | Year | Event | Nr | Rule ref | Upheld | Rejected | Protest summary | Key word |
|----|------|--|---------|-----------------------|----------|-----------|---|---------------------------------|
| 75 | 2014 | 21 st AX World Championship, Brazil | 2014.06 | AX 10.9.3 Solo flight | Too late | Withdrawn | Solo flight, but listed officials may fly. A pilot flew with an unlisted official. Another pilot filed a protest. | AX 10. Flight rules |
| 76 | 2014 | 2 nd Junior AX Worlds, France | 2014.07 | AX 5.10, 12.18.1 | Upheld | | Scoring area definition. Scoring not done to published SCA. Jury required rescoring. | AX 05.10. Official Notice Board |
| 77 | 2014 | 2 nd Junior AX Worlds, France | 2014.08 | AX 5.10, 12.18.1 | Upheld | | Scoring area definition. Scoring not done according to Jury request. Jury again required rescoring. | AX 05.10. Official Notice Board |
| 78 | 2014 | 2 nd Junior AX Worlds, France | 2014.09 | AX 5.10, 12.18.1 | | Rejected | Scoring area definition. Pilot questioned Jury authority to require rescoring. Pilot required original scoring. Appealed to FAI | AX 05.10. Official Notice Board |
| 79 | 2014 | 2 nd Junior AX Worlds, France | 2014.10 | AX 5.10, 12.18.1 | | Rejected | Scoring area definition. Pilot required original scoring, alternatively cancelling of task. | AX 05.10. Official Notice Board |
| 80 | 2014 | 1 st Women AX Worlds, Poland | 2014.11 | AX 8.2.2 | Upheld | | Task was cancelled after publication of results. Pilot requested task to be valid. | AX 08.Valid task |
| 81 | 2014 | 1 st Women AX Worlds, Poland | 2014.12 | AX 8.2.2 | | Rejected | Task was cancelled after publication of results. Jury decided task to be valid. Pilot requested task to be cancelled. | AX 08.Valid task |
| 82 | 2016 | 3 rd Junior AX Worlds, Lithuania | 2016.1 | AX 10.1, 10.2 | Upheld | | Pilot penalised for high climb rate (Dangerous flying) close to a descending balloon. Jury found that climb rate was not excessive and within safe limits | AX 10. Flight rules |
| 83 | 2016 | Czech Nationals | 2016.2 | AX 8.2.2 | Upheld | | Task cancelled long after the flight. ED rejected complaint and refused to accept a protest. Jury investigated and handled a direct protest to the jury. | AX 08. Valid task |
| 84 | 2018 | 10th BX Worlds, Germany | 2018.1 | BX 10.2 | | Rejected | Task setting, solo flights (10.9) | BX 10.9 Task selection |
| 85 | 2018 | 10th BX Worlds, Germany | 2018.2 | BX 8.3 | | Rejected | Task setting , race tasks (8.3) | BX 8.3 Task selection |
| 86 | 2018 | 10th BX Worlds, Germany | 2018.3 | BX 3.6 | | Rejected | Automatic flight controls (3.6) | BX 3.6 Automatic flight control |
| 87 | 2018 | 10th BX Worlds, Germany | 2018.4 | BX 15.4.1 | | Rejected | Missing penalty (15.4.1). Protest too late | BX 15.4.1 Penalty |

PROTESTS 1984 - today

| # | Year | Event | Nr | Rule ref | Upheld | Rejected | Protest summary | Key word |
|----|------|------------------------------|--------|----------------------|--------|-----------|--|------------------------|
| 88 | 2018 | 3rd Woman AX Worlds, Poland | 2018.5 | AX 10.1.5 AX 9.10 | | Withdrawn | Obstruction by other pilot, damage by other pilots fan | AX 9.10 Obstruction |
| 89 | 2018 | 4th Junior AX Worlds, Poland | 2018.6 | AX 8.2 | | Rejected | Unsportsmanlike task setting | AX 8.2 Valid task |
| | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |

| | | |
|---------------------------------|----|-----|
| Protests withdrawn | 4 | |
| Protests upheld | 20 | 24% |
| Protests rejected | 51 | 61% |
| Protests rejected, fee returned | 12 | 14% |
| Not decided | 1 | |
| Decision unknown | 1 | |
| | 89 | |